UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/587,091 | 07/20/2006 | Salvatore Carbone | RUBNP01 | 8907 |
| 49691 IP STRATEGIE | 7590 04/01/200 E S | EXAMINER | | |
| 12 1/2 WALL S | STREET | LONG, ROBERT FRANKLIN | | |
| SUITE E ASHEVILLE, I | NC 28801 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3764 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/01/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/587,091 | CARBONE, SALVATORE | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Robert F. Long | 3764 | | |

| | Robert F. Long | 3764 | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>23 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | vhich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI | on. LED WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extractional extraction extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extraction extractional extractional extraction extraction extraction extractional extraction | ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| 3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered be | cause | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1.2) | isideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially reconstructions or responding number of finally rejections. | ΓE below); ducing or simplifying t | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | * ** | mnliant Amendment (| PTOL-324) | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | impliant / imenament (| 1 102 02+). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allenon-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | • | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 7. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| /LoAn H. Thanh/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3764 | /Robert F Long/ Examiner, Art Unit 3764 | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claim 15 has been amended in a manner which changes the scope of the dependent claims and would require further consideration and/or search.